

TAL001

TA1001.5

.P7

1951

HIGHWAY RESEARCH BOARD

Proceedings
THIRTIETH ANNUAL MEETING

1951

A COMPARISON OF LOWER CASE AND CAPITAL LETTERS FOR HIGHWAY SIGNS

T. W. FORBES, *Institute of Transportation and Traffic Engineering, University of California,*
KARL MOSCOWITZ, *Traffic Department,* AND GLEN MORGAN, *Materials and Research*
Department, California Division of Highways

SYNOPSIS

During the last two years the California Division of Highways has experimented with the development and use of lower case letters for overhead destination signs on freeways. Recognition of word patterns is known to be fundamental in close reading of ordinary printed material and it was thought that habit and pattern factors might also make this form of letter desirable for highway signs. Opinion as to their effectiveness has been varied, however. The problem therefore was to measure the distance at which lower case signs could be read as compared to rounded capital letters.

Experiments were undertaken jointly by the California Division of Highways and the University of California Institute of Transportation and Traffic Engineering, to determine the distances at which signs of each kind of alphabet could be read. Letters from 5 in. to 18 in. in height were mounted on a bridge 17 ft. above the ground and a total of 75 observers made 3939 individual observations under daylight and artificial illumination.

White on black, series E capital letters and lower case letters of approximately the same average width-height ratio were used. These letters represented the development of this form of letter for freeway signs by the California Division of Highways. The stroke of the series "E" capital was widened slightly, also to correspond to the letters used by the California Division of Highways. By means of a prearranged series of positions, each size and form of letter was presented an equal number of times on right and left and at top and bottom of the sign background to balance out errors due to position on the sign bridge.

In order to approximate the effects of word pattern (as opposed to letter legibility) and word familiarity, three sets of measurements were made: (1) using scrambled letters; (2) using California place names, being viewed for the first time; and (3) using California place names, being viewed for the second time.

The "scrambled" groups gave control of guessing and equalized familiarity between observers. The familiar place names, unknown to the observers ahead of time, should involve pattern recognition similar to that by drivers somewhat familiar to the territory. The familiar names known ahead of time to the observers might correspond to the reading of signs by drivers who drive the same highway every day—for example, commuters on freeways.

As was expected, for both kinds of alphabet the distances increased with the size of letters and with the degree of familiarity. The increase due to increasing familiarity was greater for lower case letters than for capitals.

The comparison of lower case and capital letters can be stated in several ways. If recognition distance (and legibility distance) is expressed in terms of letter height using the total height of the "risers" of the lower case letters, these letters appeared at some disadvantage, presumably because they were narrower.

On the basis of width, the lower case words could be seen farther than the capital words, presumably because they were higher. Thus where length of sign is the controlling factor, which is often the case, these lower case letters would have the advantage.

On the basis of sign area, the advantage of one type of alphabet over the other depends upon the vertical spacing or margins. Due to the open area between the stems of lower case letters in a word, it would be expected that the margins or space between lines can be less than for capital letters without loss of legibility. Further observations are needed to determine these factors for the two forms of letter.

The California Division of Highways has been using for some time on its new freeways large size destination signs mounted overhead on special standards. For about two years a lower case alphabet has been in the process of development and use on these large size overhead signs, as illustrated in Figure 1.

Experiments with type forms for the ordinary printed page have shown that lower case printing gives more rapid reading than solid block printing with capital letters. This advantage has been at least in part attributed to more definite pattern characteristics of the




Figure 1

lower case words. It has therefore been suggested that the lower case form of letter might be of advantage on highway signs.^{1,2}

However, the factors which produce rapid reading at close range may not be the same as the factors which allow the reading of large signs at maximum distance, since a different combination of psychological and optical functions is involved. Moreover, as a result of the use of lower case signs on the highways, differences of opinion as to their effectiveness were reported by different individuals.

It thus seemed desirable to compare the

¹ Tinker, Miles A., and Paterson, Donald G. "Typography and Legibility in Reading," *Handbook of Applied Psychology*, (Fryer, D. H. and Henry, E. R. Editors) Rinehart & Co., N. Y., 1950, Vol. 1, p. 57 and 59.

² Information received by letter indicates that the suggestion has been acted upon by New Jersey and Ohio as well as California. Lower case has apparently been used for highway signs by the former and given consideration by the latter.

distances at which the two forms of letters could be seen when used for highway signs. "Distance seen" was analyzed on the basis of known psychological factors into (1) recognition by overall word pattern and (2) actual discrimination of letters, as the two extremes. Since there were indications that letters may have a different effect in small size than when presented at full scale against an outdoor background, measurements were carried out in full scale.

OBSERVATION METHOD

Types of Observation—It would be expected that any advantage from the use of lower case letters would arise from a greater variety of overall word patterns as compared with the same words in capital letters. For this reason, test material consisting of familiar names would be expected to show the greatest advantage. However, if the test material is too familiar to the observer, reliable measurements will not be obtained since the observers may "recognize" the signs far beyond the point where they can see the letter at all. In contrast, the "scrambled letter" technique used in previous studies^{3,4} allows the control of such psychological factors as guessing on the part of the observer and also equalizes the familiarity of the test material to all observers.

In order to obtain the best control possible and still obtain a comparison of lower case and capitals with familiar material as well as with unfamiliar, observations of three types of test material were used. These three types were (1) six letter "scrambled" combinations, (2) place names, "without knowledge", and (3) place names "with knowledge".

The "scrambled" letter combinations were designed to give legibility distances comparable to those obtained in a previous study⁴ with black on white standard series rectangular letters. The place names were of California cities and counties presumably known to the observers. Although an attempt was made to

³ Forbes, T. W. "A Method for Analysis of the Effectiveness of Highway Signs," *Jour. Appl. Psychol.* 1939, XXIII, 669-684.

⁴ Forbes, T. W. and Holmes, Robert S. "Legibility Distances of Highway Destination Signs in Relation to Letter Height, Letter Width and Reflectization," *Proc. Highway Research Board*, 1939, Vol. 19, 321-335.

select names having the same number of letters, this was found to be impractical and those finally used ranged from six to nine letters in length. However, comparisons should not be affected since they were between observations of the same words in the two different forms of letter.

Twelve place names "without knowledge" were presented first. The observers were told only that certain well-known California place names would be used. The same twelve words were then used again, the observers being told that the same names would be used but in different combinations and locations. These latter were the observations "with knowledge". Thus the former observations might be similar to the reading of signs by drivers who are familiar with the territory in general. The latter might represent the performance of drivers, such as commuters on freeways, who go over the same highway every day and therefore are very familiar with all place names and signs.

Procedure by Observers—Distance from the sign bridge was marked by stakes at 25-ft. intervals up to 1500 ft. and at 100-ft. intervals from 1500 to 2000 ft. The observers started at a distance where none could identify the test words and approached on foot until they could read the first word. Each observer made his own record of the distance at which he thought he could read the word and the exact spelling of the word as he "read" it. The observers were instructed to make their own independent observations, scanning each of the six words which were presented at the same time, stopping and recording the next distance marker ahead each time that a word was identified, then proceeding to the next. In case the observer later found that the word had been "seen" incorrectly, his instructions required him to cross out the original record and put in the correct spelling and the distance. By this method, each individual could advance at his own pace and could also correct any error in observation, giving a new and correct record (See Fig. 2a and 2b).

In order to increase the speed of the observations and to reduce fatigue, the observers were returned to the starting positions in automobiles. Each trip of the observers for one set of six observations consumed about

15 minutes. This included the time for assembling and setting the next group of test signs.

Number of Observations—A total of 3,939 observations were made by an average of 55 observers for each condition. An additional number of observations was obtained, but some of these had to be discarded due to incompleteness. Each observer made six observation trips "reading" six different signs



Figure 2

on each trip, on a given afternoon or evening. Observations were carried on during two afternoons and two evenings during the latter part of the month of July, 1950, starting at about 1:45 p.m. and as soon as darkness had fallen.

All observers were drawn from office staff of other departments than Traffic, and included a number of secretaries and wives. Thus both male and female observers took part. Ages ranged from 18 to 70 years. In order to eliminate any possible bias, all observations by Traffic Department personnel, and by others professionally interested in traffic signing, were excluded from the analysis.

CONSTRUCTION OF TEST SIGNS

The test signs consisted of white letters on a black background and represented the designs of lower case and capital letters result-




Figure 3

TABLE 1
WIDTH AND SPACING OF CAPITAL LETTERS
U. S. Standard Series E Rounded Capitals with Stroke Thickened From 0.172 to 0.20 In. per Inch of Letter Height

	Width in Inches per Inch of Letter Height			
	Neat Letter	Right plus Left Margin	Added Constant	Total of Width plus Spacing
A	1.02	0.08	0.15	1.25
B	0.81	0.22	0.15	1.18
C	0.80	0.11	0.15	1.06
D	0.81	0.20	0.15	1.16
E	0.77	0.21	0.15	1.13
F	0.74	0.17	0.15	1.06
G	0.80	0.11	0.15	1.06
H	0.82	0.30	0.15	1.27
I	0.20	0.30	0.15	0.65
J	0.77	0.16	0.15	1.08
K	0.84	0.16	0.15	1.15
L	0.77	0.16	0.15	1.08
M	1.04	0.30	0.15	1.49
N	0.84	0.30	0.15	1.29
O	0.84	0.10	0.15	1.09
P	0.82	0.25	0.15	1.22
Q	0.84	0.10	0.15	1.09
R	0.82	0.20	0.15	1.17
S	0.82	0.08	0.15	1.05
T	0.75	0.04	0.15	0.94
U	0.83	0.30	0.15	1.28
V	0.92	0.08	0.15	1.15
W	1.08	0.08	0.15	1.31
X	1.01	0.08	0.15	1.24
Y	1.01	0.06	0.15	1.22
Z	0.82	0.26	0.15	1.23

Average width weighted for occurrence in California place names:

Neat letters = 0.81
Letter plus spacing = 1.13

ing from the experience of the California Division of Highways. The lower case alphabet is shown in Figure 3 and the proportions are given in Table 2. The capital letter alpha-

bet was a standard Series "E" rounded letter alphabet, but with the stroke widened to correspond to that deemed most satisfactory from experience of the Highway Division as shown in Table 1.

For purposes of greatest economy and versatility individual letters were constructed from which the various names and scrambled combinations were assembled. This allowed preliminary tests of spacing between letters for selection of the value to be used during the

TABLE 2
WIDTH AND SPACING OF LOWER CASE LETTERS

Vertical Strokes 0.22-0.25, Horizontal Strokes 0.20-0.22-in. per Inch of "Loop" Height, Depending on Letter. Stem Height of "b", "d", "k" = 1.415 In. per Inch of "Loop" Height.

	Width in Inches Per Inch of "Loop" Height			
	Neat Letter	Right plus Left Margin	Added Constant	Total of Width plus Spacing
a	0.85	0.23	0.17	1.25
b	0.86	0.23	0.17	1.26
c	0.85	0.14	0.17	1.16
d	0.84	0.23	0.17	1.24
e	0.85	0.14	0.17	1.16
f	0.55	0.10	0.17	0.82
g	0.85	0.23	0.17	1.25
h	0.84	0.34	0.17	1.35
i	0.25	0.34	0.17	0.76
j	0.47	0.12	0.17	0.76
k	0.83	0.23	0.17	1.23
l	0.25	0.34	0.17	0.76
m	1.42	0.34	0.17	1.93
n	0.85	0.34	0.17	1.36
o	0.88	0.12	0.17	1.17
p	0.84	0.23	0.17	1.24
q	0.86	0.23	0.17	1.26
r	0.65	0.20	0.17	1.02
s	0.83	0.09	0.17	1.09
t	0.67	0.10	0.17	0.94
u	0.85	0.34	0.17	1.36
v	1.01	0.06	0.17	1.24
w	1.32	0.08	0.17	1.57
x	1.03	0.10	0.17	1.30
y	1.07	0.08	0.17	1.32
z	0.87	0.14	0.17	1.18

Average width weight for occurrence in California place names:

Neat letters = 0.77
Letter plus spacing = 1.15

tests. Furthermore, the same group of letters could be used to form a variety of test words. And finally, the letters could be made by photographic enlargement to insure that letter proportions would be the same for different sized letters.

Each letter for the two alphabet forms was drawn and carefully photographed. From this film original the three sizes of letter and the different individual letters required were made by photographic enlargement on double

weight, semi-matte, white photographic paper. This process required considerable care⁵ to insure the correct size and undistorted letter form after the photographic paper dried. Each of the letters so made was then mounted by means of dry mounting tissue on a piece of $\frac{1}{8}$ -in. masonite which was cut to include a margin allowance for each letter as shown in Tables 1 and 2. The resulting signs gave much the same appearance as the matte finish porcelain enamel signs used on California freeways.

Letter Spacing—Each of the 26 letters was provided with its own individual spacing by mounting it on its masonite backing so as to allow varying margins. A further spacing (constant for each letter size) was added to this as shown in Tables 1 and 2. In assembling a word this spacing was made uniform by use of a spacing block for each size of letter. In this way, an attempt was made to obtain a fairly good looking letter spacing which took due account of spacing areas produced by different letter forms.

For the capital letters, the spacing was originally similar to that described by the Texas Highway Department,⁶ modified for California use and with the added proportion. For the lower case letters very little precedent was available⁷ but a similar approach was used. Margins on each side of the letter on the rectangular block were worked out in an attempt to equalize the spacing area produced by the different forms of adjacent letter strokes. Here again a constant proportion was added.

Sign Bridge, Assembly and Mounting—An experimental sign bridge was designed⁸ consisting of two telephone poles as uprights to

which were bolted a wooden background 24 ft. wide by 6 ft. high, the bottom edge being 17 ft. above the ground. A catwalk was provided along the bottom and in front of this black background.

This sign bridge was erected on the California State Fair Grounds at a location where a clear distance of over two thousand ft. was available. The observers faced in an easterly direction so that afternoon observations could be made with the sun directly on the sign boards and out of the direct field of vision.



Figure 4

The individual sign letters were assembled to form a test word by pinning them to a mounting board (cellotex for the small letters and wood for the largest). In the case of the smaller letters, two words were mounted on each of these mounting boards whereas only one was possible in the case of the large size letters. Four of these mounting boards could be attached to the sign bridge background by means of suitable cleats, thus presenting six test words.

One board at a time could be removed, lowered and replaced by another assembly which had been prepared in the meantime. One set of test signs was assembled and mounted before the first observation trip began and during each trip by the observers, the sign crew assembled the next set of words

⁵ Special acknowledgement is due to the personnel of the Photographic Laboratory of the Division of Highways for their careful preparation of the photographic letters.

⁶ Texas Highway Department, "Standard Alphabet and Numerals," 1945, mimeographed.

⁷ Spacings from printing and from lower case display advertising signs designed for relatively close reading were not satisfactory. We wish to acknowledge the co-operation of Messrs. J. T. Penton and E. E. Radek of the California Metal Enameling Co. in making available results of their experience with both forms of letter on highway and other signs.

⁸ By Mr. Carroll Dunham of the State Traffic Engineers office.

following the careful schedule which is described below. Figure 4 shows operations in progress.

PRESENTATION SCHEDULE

Enough individual letters were made up to form eight different words in each letter size. The same words were available in both forms of letter for each size. Thus there were eight words available for lower case and for capitals in the small size, the medium size, and the large size.

In order to make a valid comparison, it was necessary that observations of the same words be made in lower case and in capital letters. But at the same time, in order to preserve the "unknown" character of the names to be used, it was necessary for half of the observers to see the words for the first time in lower case and the other half to see them for the first time in capitals.

Therefore of four words of each size used for the day observations, two of the words occurred in lower case first and the other two in capitals for the first day's observers. However these words appeared first in the other form of letter for the second day's group of observers.

Four of the words were reserved for the night observations and were similarly reversed as to form of letter presented first to the two night groups.

In order to control guessing as much as possible in the observations "with knowledge" it was also necessary to work out carefully a previously prepared series of positions for each of the test words so as to present a different combination each time. This series also equalized the number of times each word and form of letter occurred on the right and left or on the top and bottom of the sign bridge. The purpose of this was to eliminate well known factors which might tend to favor words in certain of these positions.

Each afternoon or evening observation series included two six-word combinations of "scrambled" letters in which the same type of position schedule had been worked out. The scrambled letter combinations for each letter size were different but were designed to include 24 of the 26 letters of the alphabet.

Thus each group of six signs presented a different combination. The same "familiar names" were used but reversed as to letter

form and presented in different combinations and positions on the second afternoon as compared to the first, and similarly for the two night series.

In order to be sure that the presentation series was actually followed without error by the sign crew, a photograph was taken of each six-word presentation on the sign bridge while the observers were making their observations. Examples are shown in Figure 5.



Figure 5

METHOD OF ANALYSIS

As has been pointed out, the various series of test words had been set up first to furnish three conditions of familiarity, i.e. (1) scrambled, (2) familiar names "without knowledge", and (3) familiar names "with knowledge" and, second, to furnish observations of the same familiar names in both forms of letter and in different letter sizes. The general aim of the analysis was to obtain the relationship of "distance seen" to letter size for each type of observation, and each form of letter under day and under night conditions.

Tabulations were made to give a distribution of "distances seen", first for each test word under each condition of size and letter form and, second, for the total observations of the four test words of a given size, letter form and familiarity type for day and for night observations separately.

From the first set of distributions, median values and standard deviations were computed. Graphs of letter height and width

plotted against distance were made showing the medians for each word and also the median values from the four word totals. Although least squares lines of best fit were also calculated, trend lines were finally fitted by inspection since linearity and equal weighting of deviations in long and short distance observations could not be assumed with validity. A simple analysis of variance was carried out to see whether the place names were sufficiently different to represent more than chance. Plots of sign area per letter as against distance seen were carried out with a view toward practical applications.

of the capital letters including spacing. Although the resulting letters did not prove to be exactly of the same width and height, comparisons can be made by plotting these variables against distances seen.

In previous studies,^{3,4} it was shown that observations of legibility distances of highway signs resulted in a rather symmetrical frequency distribution of distance values, and here again similar distributions were obtained. As a measure of group tendency for such a distribution, either the arithmetic mean or the median value can be used. In this case the median was chosen since it is less affected




Figure 6

RESULTS

In the development of the lower case alphabet it was found that the stem height of various letters and the length of the descending strokes all varied somewhat, so that the "loop" height of the lower case letters was the only constant value which could be used in constructing the letters. It was not known ahead of time whether "loop" height and letter height would be of the same significance, while it was known that the average width of the letters is of importance in determining the amount of sign area necessary; thus lower case letters were chosen whose average width including spacing would be very close to that

by a few very high or very low values which were thought to represent extreme cases or unreliable judgments.

Letter Height vs. Distance "Seen"—Figures 6 and 7 show the relationship of letter height and "loop" height of capitals and lower case letters to distance at which the signs were recognized or read. Each plotted point represents the median of about 27 observations for a single test word or for the total of about 110 observations of four words of a given form and size of letter as indicated by the legend. It will be noted that there is a much closer grouping of the single word medians in the

knowledge" and still longer for familiar names "with knowledge". This relationship held for both lower case and capital letters.

Figure 8 is an illustration of the distribution of distance values obtained when all observations on the four test words of a given

These distributions illustrate the increased variability of observation which occurs with greater distance "seen". Again the greater variation of the more familiar test material as compared to the scrambled letter material is illustrated.




Figure 9

size, form and familiarity were thrown together. The distributions shown are for scrambled letter determinations and for familiar names "without knowledge". The lines of median values shown correspond to the lower two trend lines of Figures 6 and 7.

Visual Acuity of Observers—It is important for the purpose of comparison with results from other studies to know the range of visual acuity of the observers. Eye tests were given, therefore, by means of the usual Snellen Eye Charts, to the majority of observers. Figure 9

shows the distribution of eye test scores. A score of 8 represents so-called "normal" or 20/20 vision and "x" indicates those on whom no test was obtained due to their not being available when the eye tests were given. Tests were given with the subject wearing glasses if these were habitually worn and were worn during the time of the sign observations. Figure 9 shows that the majority of the group had better than normal vision and that the 85 percentile represents about 20/20 or "normal" vision.

Distances for Normal Vision—This result indicates that the 85 percentile line shown in Figure 8 should be used for comparing the

TABLE 3
ACTUAL MEDIANS AND 85 PERCENTILE VALUES FOR "SCRAMBLED" LETTER OBSERVATIONS BASED ON TOTAL DISTRIBUTION FOR FOUR WORDS OF EACH SIZE

Capitals			Lower Case		
Letter Height	Distances		"Loop" Height	Distances	
	Median	85 Percentile		Median	85 Percentile
Daylight Observations			N = 109 to 112		
<i>in.</i>	<i>ft.</i>	<i>ft.</i>	<i>in.</i>	<i>ft.</i>	<i>ft.</i>
6.0	401	327	5.3	399	325
8.5	604	469	8.0	607	470
13.0	958	802	12.0	912	762
Night Observations			N = 100 to 110		
6.0	327	256	5.3	306	231
8.5	482	369	8.0	468	354
13.0	731	575	12.0	708	542

distance values obtained with those for 20/20 vision in previous studies. It will be noted that these 85 percentile values from Figure 8 give us approximately 55 ft. per inch of letter height for scrambled letter legibility distance and approximately 75 ft. per inch of letter height for recognition of familiar names "without knowledge" for the white on black rounded capital letters.

Actual median and 85 percentile values are shown in Table 3 for scrambled letters. Actual distance values are not shown in the table for familiar names because, as seen in Figures 6 and 7, the medians of the summed observations may not be entirely accurate. An estimate, however, can be obtained from the trend lines. (See Table 4.)

Comparison of Lower Case and Capitals—Since median values are in general more reliable statistically than 85 percentile values, medians were used for comparisons between lower case and capital letter determinations; but it should be borne in mind that the dis-

TABLE 4
APPROXIMATE MEDIANS AND 85 PERCENTILE VALUES FOR THE THREE TYPES OF OBSERVATIONS

(Estimates read from trend lines on original plots)

DAYLIGHT				
Letter or "Loop" Height	Distance in Feet			
	Capitals		Lower Case	
	Median	85 Percentile	Median	85 Percentile
Scrambled				
in.				
6	405	320	445	370
8	560	450	595	500
12	885	700	910	755
Place Names "Without Knowledge"				
6	530	445	610	480
8	745	605	830	640
12	1190	950	1280	960
Place Names "With Knowledge"				
6	620	500	760	635
8	870	720	1030	850
12	1390	1150	1590	1255
NIGHT				
Scrambled				
in.				
6	340	250	330	255
8	450	350	450	355
12	675	530	685	545
Place Names "Without Knowledge"				
6	465	360	505	420
8	635	510	690	560
12	990	800	1065	855
Place Names "With Knowledge"				
6	510	400	555	480
8	690	560	755	640
12	1060	900	1165	960

tances are longer than those representing "normal vision" performance. Figures 10 and 11 give a comparison of lower case and capital letter determinations in terms of median distance values, Figure 10 representing day observations and Figure 11 representing night observations. Again "loop" height and letter height were used for lower case and capital

letters respectively. In Figure 10 the two lower curves represent legibility distances determined from the scrambled letter observa-

lines, and became considerably greater for familiar names "with knowledge" as shown by the upper pair of lines.




Figure 10




Figure 12




Figure 11




Figure 13

tions. Thus for daylight the lower case letters showed a slightly greater median distance than did capitals. This advantage increased somewhat for familiar names "without knowledge", as shown by the middle pair of trend

A similar increase of advantage with familiarity was shown (Figure 11) for the night observations. However, it will be noted that at night the distance advantage of the observations "with knowledge" over those "without

degree, recognition of words by their overall pattern. On the other hand, reading of scrambled letters requires the actual discrimination of each letter and therefore depends more directly on the legibility of the different forms of letter design. Observations of familiar names

esses and therefore to result in recognition distances somewhere between those for the other two. (However, it should be noted that studies of printing involve close reading of a mass of material whereas our signs consisted of separate words "read" at long distance.)




Figure 15

"with knowledge" should be very largely a matter of recognizing the overall word pattern and therefore it is preferable to speak of recognition distance rather than legibility distances in this case. The observations of familiar words "without knowledge" would be expected to involve some degree of both proc-

The results indicated that this was the case and that recognition of word patterns probably entered in the "distance seen" of place names in both the lower case and the capital letters.

The advantage in recognition distance of the familiar names "with knowledge" over

those "without knowledge" was much greater for day observations than for night observations. This may indicate that the extreme recognition distances obtained when the observers were familiar with the list of test

tance values for the familiar words "without knowledge" and the scrambled letters was similar for both lower case and capital letters and was not markedly changed under night conditions. Approximate median values for




Figure 16

words depended upon very slight visual cues which could not be seen as far at night. Some extremely long individual observations were obtained which were correct but other extremely long ones were obviously wild guesses, a fact which agrees with this interpretation.

The relationship between the median dis-

scrambled capitals as compared to names "without knowledge" were 70 and 95 ft. per inch respectively for day observations and 55 and 80 respectively for night observations (See Figures 10 and 11 and Table 4.)

It seems preferable to give approximate values rather than expressing relationships in

terms of an equation since the results suggest a slightly curvilinear relationship between distance "seen" and letter height, especially for the capital letter material. Such a curvilinear characteristic was also found in a previous study.⁹ This slight non-linearity is of no practical importance if full scale determinations are made but would cause a substantial error if determinations were made on small scale signs and then "blown up" on the assumption of a linear relationship.

Although the lower case observation distances were consistently longer than those for the capital letters, when expressed in terms of distance "seen" for a given loop height or width of letter, the situation was reversed if average "stem" height was used. Since over half of the letters have neither a "riser" nor a "descender" it might be expected that an intermediate value between "loop" height and "stem" height could be derived to serve as an equivalent to letter height in the capitals.

In conformity with use on California highways, the lower case words began with a large capital letter. These were of series D and their height was 1.5 times loop height. The "scrambled" lower case, however, included no initial capitals.

Some advantage might accrue from these large capitals in the lower case place names. For instance, they might serve as a cue for recognition of the whole word when viewed "with knowledge". If this had occurred, 6-in. lower case words "with knowledge" should have given a distance equal to 9-in. capitals. Figure 10 shows that this was not the case. Therefore, although the initial capitals may have influenced the longest observations, apparently the remainder of the word in lower case had to be read by the majority.

The 85 percentile legibility distances obtained for the scrambled capital letters (corresponding to normal or 20/20 vision) were about 55 ft. per inch for day and 44 ft. per inch of letter height for night, as shown in Table 3. These compare with 50 ft. to the inch for day and a 15 per cent reduction for night conditions reported by Forbes and Holmes¹⁰ for black and white series "D" let-

ters. Since the present series "E" letters were somewhat wider such an increase of legibility distance is consistent.

Table 4 also gives 85 percentile legibility and recognition distances for capitals and lower case letters. These represent the distances for so-called normal vision, while many drivers on the road may have only 20/40 vision. It is suggested therefore that the 85 percentile values for names "without knowledge" should be used for practical purposes, rather than the longer median values or the longer recognition distances for the names "with knowledge".

A recent study¹¹ using rounded capital letters reported "legibility distances" of from 58 to 62 ft. per inch for series "E" (black on white and white on black) letters in daylight. These distances fall about halfway between our distances for "scrambled" and for names "without knowledge" in capital letters.

This difference, however, can be accounted for when it is noted that (1) simulated place names were used, (2) observers were in a car moving at 25 mph, and (3) observations were spoken and recorded by an observer, also riding in the car, who recorded the distance values. The distances, therefore, should be similar to our recognition distances for familiar names "without knowledge" but it should be noted that two perception reaction times were involved. The distance travelled in two 0.75-sec. reaction times at 25 mph. is 55 ft. if added to the distance values for their four inch letters, this will bring their 62 ft. per inch figure to about 76 ft. per inch. Thus we obtain a fair correspondence to our figure, if we correct for the reaction time variable introduced by the method of observation. This corrected figure, however, is still somewhat of a guess since distances were reported as average values and no eye test data for the observers was given.

It is felt that the method used in this study is advantageous in that it allows observers to correct errors, furnishes a record which shows exactly what the observer sees, and eliminates the reaction time variable where speed of a vehicle is involved. Once these basic determinations have been made, any effects of perception time, speed, and ad-

⁹ Forbes, T. W. and Holmes, Robert S. op. cit. p. 332.

¹⁰ Forbes, T. W. and Holmes, Robert S., op. cit., p. 334.

¹¹ Neal, Harry E. "The Legibility of Highway Signs." *Yearbook*, Amer. Assn. of State way Officials, 1944.

method was otherwise similar to that used previously by Forbes and Holmes⁴ and is felt to be advantageous in controlling variables which may otherwise introduce errors.

4. For both lower case and capital letter signs, the median and 85 percentile distance increased as the degree of familiarity (represented by observation types (1), (2) and (3)) increased. The longest recognition distances (for the third type of observations) however, were reduced more nearly to those of the second type under night conditions.

5. The legibility distances from "scrambled" letters were in line with those obtained by Forbes and Holmes, and decreased at night for the capital letters in similar fashion to their study. Somewhat different distance values reported in another previous study can be accounted for by certain uncontrolled variables in the method of recording used in the study.

6. Median legibility distances from the scrambled material proved to be roughly three-fourths as great as the recognition distances determined with familiar names when observed "without knowledge" of the names to be used. This relationship was also approximately the same for night observations.

7. Letter height has been used rather generally as a basic index of capital letter size since it is constant for all letters of the alphabet. For lower case letters, however, stem height was found to be variable and therefore "loop" height was the only constant dimension. When distance "seen" was plotted against loop height, comparison of median distances showed an increasing advantage for lower case letters over capital letters as familiarity of test signs increased. However, when

stem height was used the advantage was reversed.

8. When median distances were plotted against sign area required per letter of sign text, alternate assumptions were necessary as to vertical spacing between words or margin to the edge of the sign. On the basis of one assumption, lower case showed an advantage for place names, while on the basis of the other, the two letter forms required about equal areas for place names "with knowledge", and the lower case showed a slight disadvantage for names "without knowledge" and "scrambled" letters. Since no experimental determinations of required vertical spacing have been reported, a series of observations should be carried out to determine the minimum vertical spacing necessary for the two forms of letter.

9. Approximate eighty-five percentile distances (representing 20/20 vision) for scrambled capitals and place names "without knowledge" were 55 and 75 ft. per inch of letter height. For lower case letters of equal "loop" height, these distances were about 10 per cent greater.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

The study could not have been successfully carried out without the assistance of many of the State Traffic Engineer's staff and of the Staff of the Materials and Research Department who assisted in the preparations and operations. To the officials of the California Highway Division and the Institute of Transportation and Traffic Engineering for their backing and to Mr. J. C. Young for his personal interest in the project, the success of the study is due.

DISCUSSION

D. W. LOUTZENHEISER, *Bureau of Public Roads*—Since first reading of the use of lower case letters on signs on California Freeways we have awaited some positive measure of the merits of this system when compared to the usual all-capital letters. The authors are to be commended for a thorough examination of this problem in which several tricky factors are involved. Their conclusions from the observed results seem deficient in one respect and this comment is offered to give emphasis to this missing point.

For immediate practical use a direct comparison is needed of "distance seen" values for the capital and lower case letters, as given in Figure 10. For practical examination the intermediate condition of "place names without knowledge" seems closest to the usual highway sign situation. It is most important to get the sign message to the driver not familiar with the highway or intersection. From Table 4 data for a range in letter height of 6 to 12 in. the difference in distance seen for the two types of letters for this condition was

to be roughly three-fourths as great as the recognition distances determined with familiar names when observed "without knowledge" of the names to be used. This relationship was also approximately the same for night observations. 7. Letter height has been used rather generally as a basic index of capital letter size since it is constant for all letters of the alphabet. For lower case letters, however, stem height was found to be variable and therefore "loop" height was the only constant dimension. When distance "seen" was plotted against loop height, comparison of median distances showed an increasing advantage for lower case letters over capital letters as familiarity of test signs increased. However, when stem height was used the advantage was reversed. 8. When median distances were plotted against sign area required per letter of sign text, alternate assumptions were necessary as to vertical spacing between words or margin to the edge of the sign. On the basis of one assumption, lower case showed an advantage for place names, while on the basis of the other, the two letter forms required about equal areas for place names "with knowledge", and the lower case showed a slight disadvantage for names "without knowledge" and "scrambled" letters. Since no experimental determinations of required vertical spacing have been reported, a series of observations should be carried out to determine the minimum vertical spacing necessary for the two forms of letter. 9. Approximate eighty-five percentile distances (representing 20/20 vision) for scrambled capitals and place names "without knowledge" were 55 and 75 ft. per inch of letter height. For lower case letters of equal "loop" height, these distances were about 10 per cent greater.

about 10 percent. The actual range in difference for both median and 85 percentile values is 1 to 13 percent for daylight and 7 to 14 percent for night observations. This compares the full height of the capitals to the loop height of the lower case letters. In each case the lower case letters were the better. In a broad sense this minor distinction of only 10 percent does not seem significant enough to warrant immediate widespread use of the lower case letters.

Direct comparison of capital letter height to the loop height of the lower case letters is not strictly correct. In usual use, the lower case letters for names involve a higher or capital initial letter, which requires more board space. Use of a larger initial letter in the all-capital names very likely could have stepped up the distance seen by about 10 percent. If such were the case the lower case letters have no advantage. Considered otherwise, it might be more logical to compare names with all-capital letters, say 9-inch height, to those with initial letters of the same height and lower case letters of about two-thirds that height, or 6 inches, roughly the proportion used in most printing. In such a comparison these data show that the all-capital letter names would be seen for distances 30 to 40 percent greater than those with lower case letters. Recognizing the controls of board size and space, a somewhat higher ratio than two-thirds may be in order for highway sign legends with lower case letters. This would reduce the percentage of difference but the advantage obtains for the all-capital letters, according to these data. It would be helpful if the authors brought out practical conclusions in these respects.

T. W. FORBES, *Closure*—Mr. Loutzenheiser is quite correct in pointing out that our results indicate about 10 percent advantage for lower case letters when medians or 85 percentile values of "scrambled" or "without knowledge" observations are compared in terms of capital letter height and of lower case "loop height".

However, the suggestion that this advantage could be due to the use of a large initial capital seems difficult to justify. As pointed out in our paper (see p. 369) if the lower case words were recognized by means of the initial

large capital alone, 6-in. "loop height" lower case letters should have given the same results as 9-in. capitals. This did not occur. Therefore, discrimination of the remaining 5 or 6 (lower case) letters must have been required for recognition of the place names and these must have controlled the results.

A second objection to the interpretation that the apparent advantage was due to an initial capital is that it occurred in the "scrambled" determinations also (see Table 4). There were no initial capitals in the "scrambled" letter test combinations.

Finally, the suggestion that lower case letters should be compared with capital letters as high as the rising lower case stems or more (the ratio of 9 to 6 inches is even greater) ignores the fact that a considerable spacing area above the word exists between irregularly occurring stems of lower case letters. The vertical spacing comparable to that between lines of print therefore enters and it is possible that lower case words can be spaced as shown in Figure 14C without loss of legibility. If so, comparisons on the basis of "loop" height as against capital letter height would be valid. However, since no observations were obtained as to the effect of vertical spacing, it was suggested in our conclusion No. 8 that a study of this factor should be carried out and alternative examples were given in terms of sign area required. Thus, we cannot merely assume that capitals fifty percent greater than lower case loop height can be used on the same area of sign, nor that a 30 to 40 percent advantage would accrue as suggested.

Another way of putting it is that if letters are used of such a size that the base and tops of the letters come to the edge of the sign (or to the next line, or word, above and below) there will be a loss of legibility. This loss is probably greater for capitals than for lower case, due to the space areas between stems of the latter and the solid characteristic of the former.

Pending experimental determination of such effects of vertical spacing, it was felt that practical conclusions must be limited to alternatives such as those of Figures 14, 15, and 16.

It should also be pointed out that if the tall capitals are used with the same actual width as the lower case, the capitals will be a narrower series letter. As shown in a previous

paper such narrower letters will be legible for a shorter distance than the wider Series E letters used in our study. Thus, the claimed advantage would not be valid. The use of tall and wider capitals immediately involves

a longer sign and greater area than required for the lower case, and therefore is not a fair comparison. Thus, the question of how to compare the two forms of letter is much more complex than it may appear at first glance.

PHOTOGRAMMETRY AND ITS USES IN HIGHWAY PLANNING AND DESIGN

CURTIS J. HOOPER, *Director of Traffic-Planning-Design, Connecticut State Highway Department*

SYNOPSIS

Photogrammetry might be described as the process of converting photographs into contoured topographic maps. Formerly ground survey methods were considered the only reliable means of obtaining accurate topographic information. It is now possible to develop topographic maps of specified accuracy by means of aerial photographs which are then subjected to a series of photogrammetric processes so that maps may be drawn at scales useful in highway location and design.

For location planning studies, Connecticut uses the Geological Survey topographic maps for the selection of the narrowest band containing all alternate routes considered for a highway relocation. If the alternative routes require a band one mile wide, photogrammetric maps to a scale of 1 in. = 200 ft. showing 5-ft. contours would be specified. Such maps produced on tracing cloth in sheets of 3 by 5 or 6 ft. provide the Location Planning Engineer with sufficiently precise information for the refinement of line and grade, the estimating of construction quantities and the determination of number of structures and acreage of land needed for right of way. The careful pricing of these quantities on the various alternatives will produce costs for comparison with the benefits each alternate line would produce. Study of the photogrammetric maps and the photographs permit evaluation in sufficient detail so that only one line need be surveyed for design purposes.

If all the alternates needing appraisal fall within a 2000-ft. band, Connecticut would specify that the photogrammetric maps be at a scale of 1 in. = 100 ft. showing 2-ft. contours. This scale permits more refinement in the selection of the location to be constructed.

The photogrammetric processes applied so convincingly at the 200 and 100 ft. to the inch scales in our location planning have recently been extended to the more detailed surveying used in the development of construction plans, namely, 40 ft. to the inch with 1-ft. contours. Such maps would have a maximum width of 1000 ft. but if the line determination on previous larger scale maps permits, a narrower width can be specified.

The accuracy of these photogrammetric maps is almost unbelievable. For planimetric detail on 40 ft. to the inch maps it has been found that buildings, fences and virtually everything which can be seen from the sky can be plotted at 40 ft. to the inch within the accuracy normally obtained by ground survey methods. Ninety percent of the contours will be accurate within one-half contour interval and the other 10 percent will be within a whole contour. In areas covered with evergreens or brush accuracy within double these tolerances is obtainable. Such accuracies have been obtained by the Connecticut State Highway Department on photogrammetric work in three contracts through the past three years. Advantages are the ability to supplement existing survey personnel, the shorter time required to obtain maps useful for design and costs no greater than slower ground surveys.

DIVISION OF ENGINEERING AND INDUSTRIAL RESEARCH
NATIONAL RESEARCH COUNCIL

HIGHWAY RESEARCH BOARD

Proceedings OF THE THIRTIETH ANNUAL MEETING

Held at Washington, D. C.
January 9-12, 1951

EDITORS

ROY W. CRUM
Director

FRED BURGGRAF
Associate Director

W. N. CAREY JR.
Executive Assistant

WASHINGTON, D. C.

HIGHWAY RESEARCH BOARD

MAINTENANCE

W. H. Root, Department Chairman

Minnesota Practices on Salvaging Old Pavements by Resurfacing, O. L. Kipp and C. K. Preus	260
Secondary Road Administration in Linn County, Iowa, W. H. Behrens	274

TRAFFIC AND OPERATIONS

Wilbur S. Smith, Department Chairman

A Study of Vehicle, Roadway, and Traffic Relationships by Means of Statistical Instruments, Thomas J. Carmichael and Charles E. Haley	282
Jackson Boulevard Analysis of Traffic Operations, Richard G. Fencil	297
Total Travel in North Carolina Municipalities, James S. Burch	314
Discussion by S. M. Rudder	320
Survey of Downhill Speeds of Trucks on Mountain Grades, William E. Willey	322
Speeds on Rural Highways, Past and Present, Morton S. Raff	329
Standard Deviation and Coefficient of Variation of Automatic Traffic Recorder Counts, Mark Morris	336
Effects of Reversible Lane Movement Signalization of Three Lane Highways, M. Mansfield Todd	346
A Comparison of Lower Case and Capital Letters for Highway Signs, T. W. Forbes, Karl Moskowitz and Glen Morgan	355
Discussion by D. W. Loutzenheiser and T. W. Forbes	371
Photogrammetry and Its Uses in Highway Planning and Design, Curtis J. Hooper	373
Traffic Report Before and After Improvement at Intersection of Routes 1 and 25, W. R. Bellis	377
Discussion by Morton S. Raff	395

SOILS

Harold Allen, Department Chairman

Stress Distribution Below Pavements Under Trolley Bus Loadings, Robert M. Hardy and P. J. Rivard	396
A Study of the Vibrations Produced in Structures by Heavy Vehicles, Hugh B. Sutherland ..	406
Soils Engineering in Railway Construction and Maintenance, Rockwell Smith	420
The Mohr Diagram and Coulomb Equation, Norman W. McLeod	435
Studies of the Clay Fraction in Engineering Soils, W. E. Hauth, Jr. and Donald T. Davidson	449
Misconceptions in the Use of Surface Tension in Capillarity, William S. Housel	465
Discussions by James S. Holdhusen and Hamilton Gray	486
Lime-Fly Ash Compositions for Use in Highway Construction, L. John Minnick and Richard H. Miller	489
Discussions by R. F. Baker, Dale T. Harroun, L. John Minnick and Richard H. Miller ..	496
The Control of a Landslide by Subsurface Drainage, L. A. Palmer, James. B. Thompson and Clifford M. Yeomans	503
Discussion by D. P. Krynine	507
Expedient Methods for Stabilizing Swamps, Michel A. Saad	508

GENERAL

Minutes of Business Meeting	516
Awards	
Letter from Awards Committee	528
Highway Research Board Award for Paper of Outstanding Merit	529
Highway Research Board Distinguished Service Award	530
George S. Bartlett Award	532

TABLE OF CONTENTS

(INCLUDING PAPERS AND REPORTS RELEASED IN OTHER PUBLICATIONS
OF THE BOARD)

Author Index.....	x
Organization.....	xvii

ECONOMICS, FINANCE, AND ADMINISTRATION

H. S. Fairbank, Department Chairman

State Highway Personnel Practices, Harmer E. Davis.....	1
Highway Finance Problems in the West, James C. Nelson.....	9
Parking Facilities as Public Utilities, David R. Levin.....	15

DESIGN

C. N. Conner, Department Chairman

Twenty Years of Roadside Development, Charles M. Upham.....	25
Reports on Experiments with Continuous Reinforcement in Concrete Pavements, California, T. E. Stanton.....	28
Three-Year Performance Report on Experimental Continuously Reinforced Concrete Pavements in Illinois, H. W. Russell and J. D. Lindsay.....	45
Report on Experiment with Continuous Reinforcement in Concrete Pavement, New Jersey, William Van Breemen.....	61
The Performance of Concrete Resurfacing in Indiana, D. W. Lewis.....	81
Discussion by M. P. Brokaw and D. W. Lewis.....	91
The Overlay of Rigid Pavements, Robert R. Philippe and Carl H. Christiansen.....	96
Kansas' Experience with Concrete Saw for Cutting Contraction Joints in Concrete Pavement, W. J. Arndt.....	108
Studies of Compressive Stress Distribution in Simply Reinforced Concrete Near the Point of Failure, Lester A. Herr and Louis E. Vandegrift.....	114
Deflections in Slabs on Elastic Foundations, Walter W. Ewell and Shigeo Okubo.....	125
Report of Committee on Bridge Design, G. S. Paxson.....	133
High-Strength Bolts as a Means of Fabricating Steel Structures, Wilbur M. Wilson.....	136
Discussions by G. S. Paxson, W. C. Stewart, E. J. Ruble and W. M. Wilson.....	141
Studies on the Heating of Bridge Decks and Concrete Pavements, G. S. Paxson.....	143
A Theory on Loads on Negative Projecting Conduits, M. G. Spangler.....	153
Bituminous Pavement Investigation in Utah and Colorado, W. L. Eager.....	161
Discussion by Herbert E. Worley.....	172
Supplement to Stress Distribution in a Homogeneous Soil, C. R. Foster and S. M. Fergus.....	175
Discussion of Research Report 12-F, C. R. Foster and S. M. Fergus.....	179

MATERIALS AND CONSTRUCTION

C. H. Scholer, Department Chairman

A Technique for the Determination of a Thermal Characteristic of Stone, R. G. Fox, Jr. and W. L. Dolch.....	180
Dilatometer Method for Determination of Thermal Coefficient of Fine and Coarse Aggregate, George J. Verbeck and Werner E. Hass.....	187
Thermal Expansion Characteristics of Hardened Cement Paste and of Concrete, S. L. Meyers.....	193
Effect of Mortar Saturation in Concrete Freezing and Thawing Tests, Thomas M. Whiteside and Harold S. Sweet.....	204
Properties of Plain and Reinforced Limerock Concrete, S. L. Bugg and D. A. Firmage.....	217
A Study of Calcium Chloride as a Strength Accelerator in Portland Cement Concrete, Thomas E. Stanton.....	232
Michigan's Experience in the Use of White-Pigmented Membrane Curing Compounds, C. C. Rhodes.....	252

M813227

TABLE OF CONTENTS

V

HIGHWAY RESEARCH ABSTRACTS

Vol. 20, No. 11, December, 1950

Twenty-Five Years' Experience in Integrating Regional and Highway Development in the Chicago Metropolitan Area, Robert Kingery, Chicago Regional Planning Association (synopsis only).

Application of Street Capacity Data in the Development of Arterial Street Improvement, Gordon K. Gravelle, Automotive Safety Foundation (synopsis only).

Vol. 21, No. 2, February, 1951

Report of Committee on Flexible Pavement Design, A. C. Benkelman, Chairman, Bureau of Public Roads.

(a) Design Procedures of State Highway Departments, A. C. Benkelman, Bureau of Public Roads.

(b) A Proposal for Research on Flexible Pavement Design, W. H. Campen, Omaha Testing Laboratories.

(c) Correlation of Design Procedures, Frank R. Olmstead, Bureau of Public Roads.

Joint Committee Report of Maintenance Personnel Committee with American Association of State Highway Officials, B. W. Davis, Chairman, North Carolina State Highway and Public Works Commission.

Vol. 21, No. 3, March, 1951

Committee Report on Geometric Highway Design, D. W. Loutzenheiser, Chairman, Bureau of Public Roads.

Committee Report on Salvaging Old Pavements by Resurfacing, R. H. Tittle, Chairman, Illinois Division of Highways.

Vol. 21, No. 4, April, 1951

Committee Report on Thin Bituminous Surface Treatments with Aggregate Cover, Bailey Tremper, Chairman, Washington Department of Highways.

Vol. 21, No. 5, May, 1951.

Treatment and Compensation Program for Injured Maintenance Employees in Illinois, Earl McK. Guy, Illinois Division of Highways.

Cost Report on Area Maintenance in West Virginia, H. K. Griffith, State Road Commission of West Virginia.

Soil Separator, W. G. Mullen, University of Maryland

Vol. 21, No. 6, June, 1951

Effect of Coarse Aggregate on Concrete Pavement Performance in Indiana, D. W. Lewis, Joint Highway Research Project, Purdue University.

Canadian Welded Girder Bridge Practices, D. B. Armstrong, Dominion Bridge Company, Limited.

Vol. 21, No. 7, July, 1951

Committee Report on Maintenance Costs, H. A. Radzikowski, Chairman, Bureau of Public Roads.

Committee Report on Secondary Roads, William H. Behrens, Chairman, Linn County, Cedar Rapids, Iowa.

(a) Secondary Road Finance, Budget and Uniform Accounts, Spokane and King County, Washington, C. H. Chaffins, King County Road Engineer, Washington

(b) Low Cost Road Surfacing in Frederick County, Maryland, Roger H. Willard, Frederick County Road Board, Frederick, Maryland

(c) Winter Maintenance and Subsequent Spring Problems, George W. Deibler, St. Louis County, Minnesota

Capacity of Storm Drain Inlets, W. H. Li (in abstract)

Vol. 21, No. 8, September, 1951

Relation of Land Use to Traffic Requirements, Wroe Alderson, Marketing and Management Counsel, Pennsylvania.

RESEARCH REPORT NO. 13-B, SCOUR AROUND BRIDGES

Progress Report of Model Studies of Scour Around Bridge Piers and Abutments, Emmett M. Laursen, Iowa Institute of Hydraulic Research, State University of Iowa.

Investigation of Flexible Mats to Reduce Scour Around Bridge Piers, C. J. Posey and D. W. Appel, Rocky Mountain Hydraulic Laboratory, State University of Iowa, and E. Chamness, Jr., Colorado A & M College.

RESEARCH REPORT—TEN-YEAR REPORT OF COOPERATIVE RESEARCH PROJECT ON JOINT SPACING (Scheduled for publication in 1952 after completion of the Ten-Year Reports from Missouri, Oregon and California)

Ten-Year Report of Experimental Pavements—Kentucky, L. E. Gregg, Kentucky Department of Highways.

Ten-Year Report of Experimental Pavements—Minnesota, O. L. Kipp, Minnesota Department of Highways.

Ten-Year Report of Experimental Pavements—Michigan, H. C. Coons, Michigan State Highway Department.

BULLETIN 35, HIGHWAYS WITH A NARROW MEDIAN

A Study of Highways with a Narrow Median—California, E. T. Telford, California Department of Public Works.

Highways with a Narrow Median—Connecticut, Ernest T. Perkins, Connecticut State Highway Department.

Symposium on Highways with a Narrow Median—Illinois, R. J. Furbeck, Illinois Division of Highways.

Report on Highways with a Narrow Median—Michigan, C. A. Weber, Michigan State Highway Department.

Symposium on Highways with a Narrow Median—New Jersey, Ralph L. Fisher, New Jersey State Highway Department.

The Effect of Various Types of Median Dividers on the Lateral Positioning of Cars, E. B. Shrope, New York State Department of Public Works.

A Discussion of Pre-Cast Concrete Traffic Dividers—Ohio, Ralph J. Lehman, Ohio Department of Highways.

BULLETIN 36, PAVEMENT MARKING

Committee Report on Pavement Markings, A. R. Lauer, Iowa State College.

Methods and Application Procedures for Pavement Marking, Charles R. Waters, New York State Department of Public Works.

Present Preferences for Traffic Paint, G. W. Ashman, New Jersey Zinc Company of Pennsylvania.

BULLETIN 37, ROUGHNESS AND SKID RESISTANCE

Roughness and Skid Resistance Measurements on Pavements in California, R. A. Moyer and J. W. Shupe, Institute of Transportation and Traffic Engineering, University of California.

Correlation of Roughometer and Skid Tests with Pavement Type, Design and Mix, A. D. Morgan, North Carolina State Highway and Public Works Commission.

BULLETIN 38, LAND ACQUISITION AND CONTROL OF ADJACENT AREAS

Report of Committee on Land Acquisition and Control of Highway Access and Adjacent Areas, David R. Levin, Bureau of Public Roads.

Restricted Dedication of Rights of Way for New Expressways or Other Limited Access Facilities, J. B. Hutton, Jr., Bureau of Public Roads.

Urban Redevelopment and Highway Planning, Carl Feiss, U. S. Housing and Home Finance Agency.

Legal and Administrative Control of Roadside in Oregon, J. M. Devers, Oregon State Highway Commission.

Minnesota Roadside Survey, O. L. Kipp, Minnesota Department of Highways.

The Voluntary Cooperation Plan for Roadside Improvement in New Hampshire, Lloyd V. Sawyer, New Hampshire Voluntary Roadside Improvement Association.

BULLETIN 39, PRECASTING BRIDGES AND STRUCTURES

Recent Developments in Precasting of Highway Bridges and Structures, R. B. McMinn, Bureau of Public Roads.

BULLETIN 40, LOAD CARRYING CAPACITY OF ROADS AS AFFECTED BY FROST ACTION

Progress Report of Committee on Load Carrying Capacity of Roads as Affected by Frost Action, C. L. Motl, Chairman, Minnesota Department of Highways.

Effect of Temperature on Bearing Value of Frozen Soil, Miles S. Kersten and Allen E. Cox, University of Minnesota.

BULLETIN 41, TRAFFIC SURVEYS BY POST CARDS

Controlled Post Card Surveys in Ohio, F. J. Murray, Ohio Department of Highways.

Surveys in West Virginia by Post Card Questionnaires, C. A. Rothrock, State Road Commission of West Virginia.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

vii

JOINT SPACING from Missouri,	Discussion of New York State Traffic Planning and Origin and Destination Surveys for Urban Arterial Routes, Maynard A. Bebee, New York State Department of Public Works.
tucky Depart-	BULLETIN 42, SOIL COMPACTION Compaction of Unstable Material with Heavy Pneumatic Tired Rollers, M. N. Sinacori, New York State Department of Public Works.
nesota Depart-	Some Comments on Earth Compaction, D. P. Krynine, University of California.
Michigan State	BULLETIN 43, STUDIES IN NIGHT VISIBILITY Effect of Varying the Visibility of the Lead Vehicle on Perception of Relative Motion and Distance Between Vehicles at Night, Donald Hoppe, Iowa State University.
ifornia Depart-	A Study of the Relationship Between Photopic and Scotopic Visual Acuity, J. E. Uhlaner and I. A. Woods, Department of the Army.
ut State High-	Field and Laboratory Evaluation of Roadside Sign Surfacing Materials, James H. Havens and Allie C. Peed, Jr., Kentucky Department of Highways.
linois Division	A Further Study of the Effect of Certain Transmission Filters on Visual Acuity With or Without Glare, A. R. Lauer, Iowa State College.
an State High-	BULLETIN 44, VOLCANIC ASH AND LATERITE SOILS IN HIGHWAY CONSTRUCTION Highway Construction Problems Involving Plastic Volcanic Ash, K. B. Hirashima, Hawaii Territorial Highway Department.
er, New Jersey	Laterite Soils and Their Stabilization, Hans F. Winterkorn, Princeton University and E. C. Chandrasekharan, Embassy of India.
of Cars, E. B.	BULLETIN 45, SUBSURFACE DRAINAGE Committee Report on Subsurface Drainage—Present Practices on Subsurface Drainage for Highways and Airports, Philip Keene, Connecticut State Highway Department and Seward E. Horner, Kansas State Highway Commission.
, Ohio Depart-	BULLETIN 46, ENGINEERING SOIL SURVEY MAPPING Introduction, Frank R. Olmstead, Bureau of Public Roads.
ters, New York	The Preparation of Engineering Soil Maps from County Agricultural Reports, Thomas H. Thornburn, University of Illinois and J. R. Bissett, University of Arkansas.
pany of Penn-	Piedmont Soils Identified by Aerial Photographs, John C. Stevens, Virginia Department of Highways, University of Virginia.
, R. A. Moyer	Mapping of Geologic Formations by the Application of Aerial Photography, William F. Browning, Virginia Department of Highways, University of Virginia.
, University of	Engineering Significance of Sand Areas Interpreted from Airphotos, A. Morgan Johnson, Wayne University.
nd Mix, A. D.	SPECIAL PUBLICATIONS Report of Road Test One-MD, Fred Burggraf and Roy W. Crum, Highway Research Board, A. Taragin and E. C. Sutherland, Bureau of Public Roads.
s and Adjacent	Roadside Development Committee Reports and Papers Committee Report on Roadside Development, H. J. Neale, Chairman, Virginia Department of Highways.
Limited Access	Twenty Years of Roadside Development, Charles M. Upham, Consulting Engineer, Washington, D. C.
Home Finance	Roadside Planting Design, Nelson M. Wells, New York Department of Public Works.
gon State High-	Erosion Control Techniques, Frank H. Brant, North Carolina State Highway and Public Works Commission.
s.	Ground Cover Plants and Planting, Mark H. Astrup, Oregon State Highway Commission.
shire, Lloyd V.	Turf Grasses and Legumes and Turf Establishment, John Monteith, Jr., Consultant, Washington, D. C.
a.	Roadside Maintenance, John L. Wright, Connecticut State Highway Department.
R. B. McMinn,	Roadside Tree Techniques, Rush Marshall, Bartlett Tree Research Laboratories, Connecticut.
ON	Equipment for Roadside Development and Maintenance, W. J. Garmhausen, Ohio Department of Highways.
ected by Frost	Latest Developments in Research on Stabilized Turf Shoulders, Harry H. Iurka, New York Department of Public Works.
nd Allen E. Cox,	Latest Developments in Highway Drainage Design, W. H. Simonson, Bureau of Public Roads.
ghways.	Symposium on Design of Urban and Rural Highway Intersections at Grade, Leader—B. F. K. Mullins, A & M College of Texas.
e Road Commis-	Symposium on Frost Action in Soils The 38 papers in this Symposium were reviewed in seven groups at the 30th Annual Meeting

by L. E. Gregg, Kentucky Department of Highways, R. F. Leggett, National Research Council of Canada, Miles S. Kersten, University of Minnesota, G. W. McAlpin, Jr., New York State Department of Public Works, J. H. Swanberg, Minnesota Department of Highways, T. E. Shelburne, Virginia Department of Highways, and Frank R. Olmstead, Bureau of Public Roads.

Major Soil Profiles and Their Relationship with Climate, A. C. Orvedal, J. Kubota and H. M. Smith, U. S. Department of Agriculture.

Climate Aspects of Frost Heave and Related Ground Frost Phenomena, P. A. Siple,
Department of the Army.

Areal Distribution of Climates Related to Ground Freezing, L. E. Gregg, Kentucky Department of Highways.

Soil Temperature, A Review of the Literature, Carl B. Crawford, National Research Council of Canada.

Some Field Measurements of Soil Temperature in Indiana, E. J. Yoder and Charles R. Lowrie, Indiana Joint Highway Research Project, Purdue University.

An Absolute Method of Determining Thermal Conductivity and Diffusivity of Soils,
A. D. Misener, University of Western Ontario.

The Thermal Conductivity Probe, F. C. Hooper, University of Toronto.

Methods for Measuring the Moisture Content of Soils Under Field Conditions, G. J. Bouyoucos, Michigan Agricultural Experiment Station.

The Measurement of Soil Moisture and Temperature by Heat Diffusion Type Moisture Cell, William M. Aldous and Warren L. Lawton, Civil Aeronautics Administration, Indianapolis, Indiana.

The Measurement of Soil Moisture and Density by Neutron and Gamma Ray Scattering,
D. J. Belcher, Cornell University.

Water in Highway Subgrades and Foundations, F. N. Hveem, California Division of Highways.

Soil Moisture Under Concrete Pavement of An Airport, T. B. Riise, Norwegian Institute of Technology.

Distribution of Capillary Moisture at Equilibrium in Stratified Soil, M. G. Spangler and Wei Te Pien, Iowa State College.

Moisture Conditions Under Flexible Airfield Pavements, J. F. Redus and C. R. Foster, Waterways Experiment Station, Corps of Engineers.

Heat Transfer and Temperature Distribution in Soils for Transient Heat Flow Due to Cylindrical Sources and Sinks, Y. S. Touloukian, J. D. Bottorf and Thor Harsen, Purdue University.

Thermal Properties of Soils, Miles S. Kersten, University of Minnesota.

Relation of Frost Action to the Clay Mineral Composition of Soil Materials, Ralph E. Grim, Illinois State Geological Survey.

A Theory of Ice Blocked Drainage as a Principal Factor in Frost Heave, Slump and Solifluction, P. A. Siple, Department of the Army.

Soil Instability on Slopes in Regions of Perennially Frozen Ground, R. S. Sigafos and D. M. Hopkins, U. S. Geological Survey.

Calculation of Depth of Thaw in Frozen Ground, Harry Carlson, St. Paul District, Corps of Engineers.

Interpretation of Permafrost Features from Airphotos, Robert E. Frost, Joint Highway Research Project, Purdue University.

Cold Room Studies of Frost Action in Soils, James F. Haley and Chester W. Kaplar, New England Division, Corps of Engineers.

The Nature and Extent of Damage to New Hampshire Highways from Frost Action, Paul S. Otis, New Hampshire Highway Department.

Frost Action and Spring Break-Up in Colorado, R. E. Livingston, Colorado State Highway Department.

Frost Action in Michigan, O. L. Stokstad, Michigan State Highway Department.

Frost Damage to Roads in Great Britain, D. Croney, Road Research Laboratory, Harmondsworth, Middlesex, England.

Load Carrying Capacity of Roads Affected by Frost Action, T. B. Lawrence, Minnesota
Department of Highways.

Frost Action Studies of Thirty Soils in New Jersey, F. C. Rogers and H. C. Nikola, Joint

TABLE OF CONTENTS

ix

Highway Research Project, New Jersey State Highway Department and Rutgers University.	
Investigation of the Effect of Frost Action on Pavement Supporting Capacity, K. A. Linnell and J. F. Haley, New England Division, Corps of Engineers.	
Calcium Chloride Treatment of Frost Action, H. R. Smith, Solvay Sales Division, Allied Chemical and Dye Corporation.	
Controlling the Effects of Frost Action in Michigan, O. L. Stokstad, Michigan State Highway Department.	
Remedies and Treatments for the Frost Problem in Nebraska, O. L. Lund, Nebraska Department of Roads and Irrigation.	
Remedies and Treatments by Connecticut Highway Department, Philip Keene, Connecticut State Highway Department.	
The Influence of Frost on Highway Foundations, Earl I. Fuller, New York State Department of Public Works.	
The Norwegian State Railways' Measures Against Frost Heaving, Sv. Skaven-Haug, Norwegian State Railways.	
Results of a Questionnaire on Remedies and Treatments, T. E. Shelburne, Virginia Council on Investigation and Research.	
Results of a Questionnaire on Needed Research, Frank R. Olmstead, Bureau of Public Roads.	
Needed Research Pertaining to Frost Action and Related Phenomena, A. W. Johnson, Highway Research Board.	

AUTHOR INDEX

(INCLUDING PAPERS AND REPORTS RELEASED IN OTHER PUBLICATIONS
OF THE BOARD)¹

A

- ALDERSON, WROE**
Relation of Land Use to Traffic Requirements. Highway Research Abstracts, Vol. 21, No. 8.
- ALDOUS, W. M.**
The Measurement of Soil Moisture and Temperature by Heat Diffusion Type Moisture Cell. Symposium on Frost Action in Soils.
- APPEL, DAVID W.**
Investigation of Flexible Mats to Reduce Scour Around Bridge Piers. Research Report No. 13-B.
- ARMSTRONG, D. B.**
Canadian Welded Girder Bridge Practices. Highway Research Abstracts, Vol. 21, No. 6.
- ARNDT, W. J.**
Kansas' Experience with Concrete Saw for Cutting Contraction Joints in Concrete Pavement. Page 108
- ASHMAN, G. W.**
Present Preferences for Traffic Paint. Bulletin 36.
- ASTRUP, MARK H.**
Ground Cover Plants and Planting. Roadside Development Committee Reports and Papers.

B

- BAKER, R. F.**
Discussion of "Lime-Fly Ash Compositions for Use in Highway Construction." Page 496
- BEBEE, M. A.**
Discussion of New York State Traffic Planning and Origin and Destination Surveys for Urban Arterial Routes. Bulletin 41.
- BEHRENS, WILLIAM H.**
Secondary Roads Administration in Linn County, Iowa. Page 274
Report of Committee on Secondary Roads. Highway Research Abstracts, Vol. 21, No. 7.
- BELCHER, D. J.**
The Measurement of Soil Moisture and Density by Neutron and Gamma Ray

¹ Reference to page numbers indicates Proceedings.

- Scattering. Symposium on Frost Action in Soils.
- BELLIS, W. R.**
Traffic Report Before and After Improvement at Intersection of Routes 1 and 25. Page 377
- BENKELMAN, A. C.**
Report of Committee on Flexible Pavement Design. Highway Research Abstracts, Vol. 21, No. 2.
- BISSETT, J. R.**
The Preparation of Engineering Soil Maps from County Agricultural Reports. Bulletin 46.
- BOTTORF, J. D.**
Heat Transfer and Temperature Distribution in Soils for Transient Heat Flow Due to Cylindrical Sources and Sinks. Symposium on Frost Action in Soils.
- BOUYOUCOS, G. J.**
Methods for Measuring the Moisture Content of Soils Under Field Conditions. Symposium on Frost Action in Soils.
- BRANT, FRANK H.**
Erosion Control Techniques. Roadside Development Committee Reports and Papers.
- BROKAW, M. P.**
Discussion of "Performance of Concrete Resurfacing in Indiana." Page 91
- BROWNING, WILLIAM F., JR.**
Mapping of Geologic Formations by the Application of Aerial Photography. Bulletin 46.
- BUGG, S. L.**
Properties of Plain and Reinforced Lime-rock Concrete. Page 217
- BURCH, JAMES S.**
Total Travel in North Carolina Municipalities. Page 314
- BURGGRAF, FRED**
Report of Road Test One-MD.

C

- CAMPEN, W. H.**
A Proposal for Research on Flexible Pavement Design. Highway Research Abstracts, Vol. 21, No. 2.
- CARLSON, HARRY**
Calculation of Depth of Thaw in Frozen Ground. Symposium on Frost Action in Soils.

- CARMICHAEL, T. J.
A Study of Vehicle, Roadway, and Traffic Relationships by Means of Statistical Instruments. Page 282
- CHAFFINS, C. H.
Secondary Road Finance, Budget and Uniform Accounting, Spokane County, Washington. Highway Research Abstracts, Vol. 21, No. 7.
- CHAMNESS, E., JR.
Investigation of Flexible Mats to Reduce Scour Around Bridge Piers. Research Report No. 13-B.
- CHANDRASEKHARAN, E. C.
Laterite Soils and Their Stabilization. Bulletin 44.
- CHRISTIANSEN, CARL H.
The Overlay of Rigid Pavements. Page 96
- COONS, HARRY C.
Ten-Year Report of Experimental Pavements. Michigan, Research Report.
- COX, ALLEN E.
Effect of Temperature on Bearing Value of Frozen Soil. Bulletin 40.
- CRAWFORD, CARL B.
Soil Temperature—A Review of the Literature. Symposium on Frost Action in Soils.
- CRONEY, D.
Frost Damage to Roads in Great Britain. Symposium on Frost Action in Soils.
- CRUM, ROY W.
Report of Road Test One-MD.
- D
- DAVIDSON, DONALD T.
Studies of the Clay Fraction in Engineering Soils. Page 449
- DAVIS, B. W.
Report of Committee on Maintenance Personnel. Highway Research Abstracts, Vol. 21, No. 2.
- DAVIS, HARMER E.
State Highway Personnel Practices. Page 1
- DEIBLER, GEORGE W.
Winter Maintenance and Subsequent Spring Problems. Highway Research Abstracts, Vol. 21, No. 7.
- DEVERS, J. M.
Legal and Administrative Control of the Roadside in Oregon. Bulletin 38.
- DOLCH, WILLIAM L.
A Technique for the Determination of a Thermal Characteristic of Stone. Page 180
- E
- EAGER, W. L.
Bituminous Pavement Investigation in Utah and Colorado. Page 161
- EWELL, WALTER W.
Deflections in Slabs on Elastic Foundations. Page 125
- F
- FEISS, CARL
Suggested Relationships Between the Slum Clearance and Urban Redevelopment Program and Urban Highway Planning and Research. Bulletin 38.
- FENCL, RICHARD G.
Jackson Boulevard Analysis of Traffic Operations. Page 297
- FERGUS, S. M.
Supplement to Stress Distribution in a Homogeneous Soil. Page 175
Discussion of Research Report 12-F, Page 179
- FIRMAGE, D. A.
Properties of Plain and Reinforced Lime-rock Concrete. Page 217
- FISHER, R. L.
Symposium on Highways with a Narrow Median—New York. Bulletin 35.
- FORBES, T. W.
A Comparison of Lower Case and Capital Letters for Highway Signs. Page 355
- FOSTER, CHARLES R.
Supplement to Stress Distribution in a Homogeneous Soil. Page 175
Discussion of Research Report 12-F, Page 179
Moisture Conditions Under Flexible Air-field Pavements. Symposium on Frost Action in Soils.
- FOX, R. G., JR.
A Technique for the Determination of a Thermal Characteristic of Stone. Page 180
- FROST, ROBERT E.
Interpretation of Permafrost Features from Airphotos. Symposium on Frost Action in Soils.
- FULLER, EARL I.
The Influence of Frost on Highway Foundations. Symposium on Frost Action in Soils.
- FURBECK, ROY J.
Symposium on Highways with a Narrow Median—Illinois. Bulletin 35.
- G
- GARMHAUSEN, W. J.
Equipment for Roadside Development and Maintenance. Roadside Development Committee Reports and Papers.
- GRAVELLE, GORDON K.
Application of Street Capacity Data in the Development of Arterial Street Improve-